

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 9, 2001

LB 75, 536

in the state, make ethanol available. Under my amendment we would have an exemption for service stations that have one tank that was...is to allow certain places out in the middle of the state that have a hard time selling more than one product. I believe that that is a good compromise; I hope that you vote no on the reconsideration motion.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD PRESIDING

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Connealy. (Visitors introduced.) On the motion to reconsider, Senator Baker.

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Mr. Lieutenant Governor, members. Senator Connealy, I can see how Senator Chambers comes up with all these amendments. They are ideas presented to him by other senators and constituents. Nebraska content, something Senator Connealy just brought up, I've got about 15 amendments now ready to pile on here. One of them it's going to require all diesel fuel sold in the state be 10 percent soy diesel, "biodiesel". What about those poor soybean producers? We're going to help do this in light of helping the corn producers, soybean producers are next, and the sorghum producers will be after that with sugar. So there's an amendment, we're going to require all diesel fuel be "biodiesel" fuel delivered to any farmer, whomever. Next amendment, Nebraska content, we're going to require that all corn products, food products sold in the state be 10 percent or more of Nebraska produced corn. Doesn't matter where they're manufactured. Oil isn't made here in Nebraska; very little produced, as Senator Connealy said. We're going to...that's the next amendment or one I could consider. And one that would come to the heart of this bill, we could require that all farm delivered fuel contain 10 percent ethanol or 10 percent "biodiesel" soybean products. And the list goes on, and on, and on, and on. And Senator Chambers would best cherish this moment when we're both on the same page here. He's grinning. I think we're going to part ways on LB 536. I...there is a potential compromise there, and that's that the people who provide the incentives would be the growers of the corn and sorghum, they could fund the incentives themselves. So I am more than willing to do that, in fact I, in preparation for LB 536 getting on the floor, I am in favor of raising the checkoff on corn and sorghum